## LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND HOUSING ACT 1989

## POLITICAL COMPOSITION

## COMMITTEES \& SUB-COMMITTEES (APRIL 2017) [OPTION 1 - APPENDIX A]

| Total Number of <br> Committee etc. seats for <br> 1989 Act purposes | 107 |  | Outcome: | Why so? :- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |

## Calculation

Conservative Group $=0.450 \times 107=48.15$. Rounded $=48$ seats.
Holland-on-Sea Residents' Group $=0.050 \times 107=5.35$. Rounded $=5$ seats.
Independent Group $=0.083 \times 107=8.88$. Rounded $=9$ seats
Labour Group $=0.083 \times 107=8.88$. Rounded $=9$ seats .
UKIP Group $=0.233 \times 107=24.93$. Rounded $=25$ seats .
Non-Aligned $=0.100 \times 107=10.70$ Rounded $=11$ seats .
NOTE: Since the last review the UKIP Group has gained 1 Member and the "Non-Aligned/Vacant" has lost 1 Member. This is the result of the By-Election in the St James' Ward.

COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES (MARCH 2017)

| Committee/SubCommittee | Seats | Conservative$0.450$ |  | Holland Residents' $0.050$ | Independent$0.083$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labour } \\ & 0.083 \end{aligned}$ |  | Non-Aligned$0.100$ |  | UKIP Group$0.233$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audit Committee | 5 | [3] | $2.25 \quad \mathbf{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} {[0]} & & \mathbf{0} \\ 0.25 & \end{array}$ | [0] | 0 | [0] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 |
| Community Leadership \& Partnerships Committee | 11 | [5] | $4.95 \quad 5$ | $\begin{array}{lll} {[1]} & & \mathbf{1} \\ 0.55 & \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [2] | 1 | [0] | 1 | [2] | 2 |
| Corporate Management Committee | 8 | [4] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}  \\ & \\ 0.40 & \mathbf{0} \end{array}\right.$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 |  | 1 | [1] | 1 |
| Council Tax Committee | 5 | [2] | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline & \mathbf{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline[0] & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0.25 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | [0] | 1 | [0] | 0 | [2] | 1 | [1] | 1 |
| Human Resources Committee | 14 | [6] | $6.30 \quad 6$ | $\text { [1] } \begin{array}{ll}  \\ 0.70 & \mathbf{1} \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [2] | 2 | [3] | 3 |
| Licensing \& Registration Committee | 15 | [7] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 7 \\ 6.75 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} {[1]} & & \mathbf{1} \\ 0.75 & \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [2] | 2 | [3] | 3 |
| General Purposes SubCommittee | 8 | [3] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline[1] & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0.40 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [0] | 1 | [2] | 1 |
| Local Plan Committee | 15 | [7] | $\begin{array}{ll}  & 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\text { [1] } \begin{array}{cc}  & \mathbf{1} \\ & 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [2] | 2 | [3] | 3 |
| Planning Committee | 11 | [4] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc\|} \hline[0] & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0.55 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [4] | 3 |
| Service Development \& Delivery Committee | 8 | [4] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline[0] & & 0 \\ & 0.40 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [1] | 1 | [0] | 1 | [2] | 1 |
| Standards Committee | 7 | [3] | $3.15 \quad 3$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} {[0]} & & 0 \\ 0.35 & \end{array}$ | [1] | 1 | [0] | 1 |  | 1 | [1] | 1 |
| TOTALS | 107 | [48] | (49) | [5] (4) | [9] | (10) | [9] | (10) | [13] | (14) | [23] | (20) |
| ENTITLED TO |  | +0 | 48 | +0 5 | +0 | 9 | +0 | 9 | -2 | 11 | +2 | 25 |

NOTES: (a) The figures in the top right hand corner of each box are the number of seats each Group is entitled to on each Committee/Sub-Committee when Section 15 of the LGHA 1989 and the LG (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990 are applied. The figures in the square brackets in the top-left hand corner indicate how many Members from that Group are serving on that individual Committee/Sub-Committee at the present time.
(b) Following the By-Election held in the St James' Ward a full review has been undertaken in preparation for the Annual Meeting of the Council. The result of the review is that, based on the figures in square brackets in the columns and the overall entitlement to seats:
(1) The UKIP Group need to gain 2 seats; and
(2) The Non-Aligned need to lose 2 seats.

